# Landing Page

## Users like the general look and feel of the landing page, including the name, logo, and colors

## Some users felt the content was big, but recognized that it would be good for an older demographic (which they felt would be a likely demographic given the product’s purpose)

## Users provided feedback that the email field in the sign in box was automatically activated, so you can’t tell that it’s looking for an email address unless you tab through to another field (they think its just asking for any type of username versus an explicit call for email address)

## Users would like additional information about what the site is and what the purpose is. The landing page should have more content, or the navigation could include an “about” page showing these details (where the data comes from, why it’s a credible source of information, etc.)

# Cabinet Page

## Users would like additional content on this page with more explicit instructions about what to do

## Users knew how to interact with the search bar and the type-ahead met their expectations

## Users were confused by the visual representation of interactions:

### Not explicit when there are 0 interactions among the drugs in their cabinet – they would like an explicit indication

### They weren’t paying attention to the visual interaction cues on the left pane. Once prompted, they say that some drugs were highlighted different colors, but it took time and effort to determine what this was showing

### Would like icons calling attention to interactions (e.g. exclamation mark in corner of drugs that are interacting)

## Users knew to click on the “interactions blurb” to be taken to another page with detailed information. They liked the sliding transitions between these pages

# Interaction Description Page

## Users knew to click on the interactions tile on the left to see detailed information about that specific interaction

## Users don’t like that the description doesn’t change based on which tile you select